

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,834	07/28/2003	Seiji Ishikawa	1260-03	5836	
35811	7590 06/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP			TRAN, THAO T		
1650 MARK	TY PLACE, SUITE 4900 ET ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELI	PHIA, PA 19103		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,834	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thao T. Tran	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			-
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/03</u>. 	5) Notice of (6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/628,834

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-2 are indefinite due to the use of "(20-50°C)" and "(adhesive strength)". It is unclear to the examiner whether the limitations in parenthesis are part of the claimed invention or not. Clarification of the status of these limitations is required.

Claim 7 recited "a dicing method comprising using the dicing tape" without setting forth any steps involved in the method/process. It is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 7-8 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation in claim 7 of a method of using, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/628,834

Art Unit: 1711

Claim 8 is further indefinite because it is unclear to the examiner as to and/or of what the attaching and dicing Applicants are trying to claim. Clarification on attaching and dicing is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capote et al. (US Pat. 6,335,571).

Capote teaches a wafer coated with an encapsulant having a thin film of polyimide 37, which in turn, is coated with a thin film of polyimide siloxane thermoplastic adhesive 39. The polyimide siloxane film is between about 5 and 30 microns thick at its thickest point (see Figs. 25 & 28; col. 4, ln. 38-48; col. 7, ln. 18-26, 56-57; col. 15, ln. 44-50; claims 1 & 7).

Although the reference is silent with respect to the peel strength and volume resistivity of the adhesive layer, since the reference teaches the adhesive layer having same thermoplastic adhesive of polyimide siloxane, the adhesive of the reference would inherently have the same properties as those of the presently claimed invention.

Capote further teaches the wafer coated with the encapsulant is put in contact with (attached to) an integrated circuit prior to dicing into individual chips (see Fig. 28; col. 6, ln. 57-58; col. 16, ln. 6).

Art Unit: 1711

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao Iran

June 25, 2004